

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/492,725	Applicant(s) ARSENAULT ET AL.	
	Examiner M. VICTORIA VANDERHORST	Art Unit 3688	

All Participants:

(1) M. VICTORIA VANDERHORST.

(2) Felipe Hernandez (attorney for the case).

Date of Interview: 26 August 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: For allowance

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 11:00 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The compliance of claims 24 and 56 with 35 CFR 101

Claims discussed:
24 and 56 method claims

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Possible examiner's amendment

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JOHN G. WEISS/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3688

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)